

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE HONORABLE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CHANDLER, ARIZONA, held in the Council Chambers, 88 E. Chicago St., on Monday, May 23, 2011, at approximately 7:33 p.m.

THE MEETING WAS CALLED TO ORDER BY MAYOR JAY TIBSHRAENY.

The following members answered roll call:

Jay Tibshraeny	Mayor
Trinity Donovan	Vice-Mayor
Kevin Hartke	Councilmember
Rick Heumann	Councilmember
Matt Orlando	Councilmember
Jack Sellers	Councilmember
Jeff Weninger	Councilmember

Also in attendance:

Rich Dlugas	City Manager
Pat McDermott	Assistant City Manager
Mary Wade	City Attorney
Marla Paddock	City Clerk

ACTION:

1. FY 2011-12 PROPOSED BUDGET AMENDMENTS

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY explained the purpose of the meeting and indicated that the approved budget amendments would be included in the budget that would be adopted by the Council at their next meeting. He noted that the process had been transparent and that there had been three citizen workshops and three Council workshops for the budget.

CITY MANAGER RICH DLUGAS informed the Council that there were six amendments before the Council including one from the Transportation & Development Department that would modify the CIP and accelerate a mile of Gilbert Road as well as move back other projects. He added that the other 5 amendments were submitted by Councilmembers; with Councilmember Weninger submitting four amendments and Councilmember Orlando submitting one. He reiterated that if the amendments were adopted, then they would be included in the tentative budget.

1. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY 2012-21 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Transportation & Development Director R.J. ZEDER stated that staff would be changing the order of funding projects for the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) due to the input given to staff from the Council. He explained that one of the reasons for the change was in order to advance funding for projects in Southeast Chandler. The second reason involved moving some projects out in north and central Chandler, in an effort to give the area a bit of time to recover before another project is done.

Mr. Zeder summarized the following proposed changes to the CIP:

- Move construction funding for the Alma School Road/Chandler Intersection from FY12/13 to FY13/14.
- Move construction funding for McQueen Road from Chandler Heights to Riggs Road section from FY16/17 to FY17/18.
- Move construction funding for the Galveston Street Bike/Pedestrian Bridge from FY12/13 to FY13/14.
- Move construction funding for Gilbert Road from Queen Creek Road to Hunt Highway – moving the construction of Ocotillo Road to Chandler Heights phase from FY17/18 to FY12/13, and moving construction of the mile of the Chandler Heights to Hunt Highway phase from FY18/19 to FY16/17.

Mr. Zeder stated that moving the funding for McQueen Road would allow a section of Gilbert Road to be moved earlier. Mr. Zeder noted that the funding for the Galveston Street Bike/Pedestrian Bridge was federally funded and that the City had met its local match. He told the Council that it made more sense for the project to be broken to when the federal dollars were available. He explained that the federal dollars were broken down into two fiscal years and that the second half of the construction dollars would be coming in FY13/14. Mr. Zeder indicated that staff would be allowed to build a mile of Gilbert Road from Queen Creek to Ocotillo in FY11/12; then move to the next mile from Ocotillo to Chandler Heights in FY12/13 and then build McQueen and the remaining sections. He stated that staff would build one mile then move on to the next road and would continue to do so in order to not keep one roadway under construction for 5 years consecutively. Although not part of the motion, Mr. Zeder noted that there would be funding in FY12/13 to do construction on Ocotillo Road from Arizona Avenue to McQueen Road. Mr. Zeder told the Council that staff was trying to address the three highest priority roads.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY was glad to see the Gilbert Road priorities. He did not recall any new road constructions in Southeast Chandler for the last 5 years. He believed that the plan set forth by staff and the Council would be good news for Southeast Chandler. He noted that the change was a good prioritization by the Council and good work by Staff to find ways to fund the important roadways.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER thanked Staff for the movement of priorities. He stated that he had gotten a call from someone that was involved in the construction of the Holocaust Museum who was under the impression that the construction on Alma School and Ray Road would be delayed. Councilmember Weninger noted that Mr. Zeder had relayed information to him indicating that the construction would not be delayed.

MR. ZEDER concurred. He indicated that the City was successful in receiving a \$6.3 million federal grant to go towards construction of the intersection. He explained that it was a process to go through when federal money was used. He stated it could take a couple of months for the process to take place but noted that it was Staff's intent to bring forward a construction contract to Council for consideration in the late summer.

MR. GEORGE URISH, 2242 E. HORESHOE PLACE addressed the Council regarding the Capital Improvement Program. He noted that he supported the City Manager's

recommendation for a change to the property tax rate. He told the Council that his concern was in regards to street improvements but acknowledged that there were competing resources. He stated that the community he represented was 10 years of age and that there were no visible means for identifying when improvements would be made. He proposed a partial improvement but indicated that there were a number of delays of why that might not end up being a good idea. He stated that there was a reluctance to do partial improvements due to other areas wanting the same thing done. Mr. Urish believed it would benefit the City to consider reviewing neighborhoods that would be able to get by with partial improvements. He told the Council that his community would be willing to wait 10 years for the street improvements if other things such as the sidewalks, curbing, and landscaping were applied.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY thanked Mr. Urish for offering alternatives to a permanent roadway. He pointed out that Mr. Urish had previously met with staff and would meet with City Manager Dlugas in the upcoming week to discuss ways that may meet the needs of Mr. Urish and residents.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN, SECONDED BY VICE-MAYOR DONOVAN TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2012-21 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM TO SHIFT PROGRAM FUNDING AS STATED BY STAFF, WITH NO CHANGE TO THE 2011-12 APPROPRIATION.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0).

2. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY2011/12 Budget – One-time appropriation

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER explained that Amendment No. 2 dealt with on-going money that was used every year to purchase properties in and around Downtown Chandler. The amendment would take away \$300,000.00 from the \$500,000.00 of that fund and move it into an infrastructure maintenance reserve. He pointed out that the reserve did not have to be spent but rather the funding would be made available for other areas of infrastructure. He recalled instances in where money was held up for projects that were proposed but not actual real projects. He believed in some instances that the money was being held up in lieu of it being used for infrastructure.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY questioned if the Infrastructure Maintenance Reserve was existing or if it would be created by the amendments.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER clarified that the reserve was new. He stated that reserve would have a specific intent and be easy to identify.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY questioned the reserve that was created by the City Council last year.

CITY MANAGER DLUGAS clarified that the reserve was created at the end of the last fiscal year after unexpected sales tax revenue came into the City. He believed that the money created over \$5 million in revenues. He explained that the some of the money was used for one-time merit payments to union groups. He noted that Councilmember Heumann had brought the item forward to have an infrastructure fund for the remaining dollars that could be used at the Council's discretion for capital projects or infrastructure needs.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO questioned if the amendment that Vice-Mayor Donovan had brought forward last year was also part of the infrastructure reserve fund.

VICE-MAYOR DONOVAN remarked that the amendment took savings from different projects and put them into transportation and parks for this year.

At the request of COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO, COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER clarified that amendment would be on-going as it would be funded every year.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO stated that the fund would be funded every year at a certain value.

Management Services Director DENNIS STRACHOTA concurred. He stated that the fund had been funded with one-time dollars. He explained that the fund would no longer exist after it was depleted.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN noted that the amendment last year was done so to set aside the money and have it be protected. He reiterated that the money was to be used at the Council's discretion.

In response to a question from COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, CITY MANAGER DLUGAS explained that money set aside by the amendment done by Councilmember Heumann, was part of the infrastructure reserve line that was in the one-time reserves. He added that the money from last year and the money from the amendments could be separately identified as a line in the one-time reserves.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER questioned if the money from the amendment for Councilmember Heumann was part of the 12% contingency.

CITY MANAGER DLUGAS clarified that the money was identified as infrastructure reserve line that had nothing to do with the 12% contingency reserve. He further explained that the reserve was shown as an identifiable line of the infrastructure reserve for potential projects.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER believed that it would be beneficial to have the money all in one account.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN noted that at the time that the amendment was done there was a lot of uncertainty in terms of the economy. He stated that the design of it was to have the money be a separate line.

COUNCILMEMBER SELLERS questioned if the funds could be used for a project in the Downtown area that were to come along after they funds were moved into a separate account.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER believed it could be used for that if the money were to be used for infrastructure and if it was the will of the Council.

MR. STRACHOTA stated that if the money could be used for that if the reserve was defined broadly enough. He did not see any prohibition with that since they would be acquiring assets. He pointed out that the full amount of the reserve had not been appropriated. He indicated

that the Council would need to provide staff with direction identifying any amount that should be appropriated in the budget so that it becomes available to spend. He stated that the full \$10-12 million in the fund had not been appropriated.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER indicated that he was not proposing the money be spent; rather he would like the flexibility to spend the money if something were to arise.

MR. STRACHOTA responded that if that were the case, then the amount should be appropriated in the budget. Mr. Strachota stated that the amount that was not appropriated would be sitting in fund balance, not appropriated, would not be spent and would remain that way unless the Council appropriated it in next year's budget.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY questioned if the amounts that were identified in the Amendment No. 2 appropriated those funds. MR. STRACHOTA replied that they did.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY questioned which funds were not appropriated. He asked if the other money could be used if there were an emergency.

MR. STRACHOTA replied that the money could not be used as it would not actually be part of the budget. Mr. Strachota clarified that in those cases; appropriation authority is borrowed from the 12% contingency knowing full well that there would be money to replenish with a reserve the following year.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO questioned if there was something specific that the money would be used for. He believed that there would just be a transfer of the funds from one "to be determined" project to another. He questioned if perhaps staff should come back before the Council with recommended projects or if the amount should be kept and moved around every year until something came up.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER did not believe that money should be appropriated just because it was available. He did not like the thought of spending down the reserve. He noted that his amendments dealt with accounts that had money that had not been spent over the last few years.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO questioned if the fund would be another contingency fund or if there were projects that fund could be used for.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY recalled hearing from constituents during the budget briefings that there were reductions in funding of street and park maintenance. He noted that the City had also lost funding from the State. He did not want Chandler to be like other Valley Cities where people can tell that street maintenance was not being done. He reiterated that funding could be used for roads that had not been scheduled for regular maintenance. He added that Councilmember Heumann had talked about shade structures in parks.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO stated he had no problems using the money towards projects that were more in need.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY stated that staff could perhaps request from the Council funding for a street project that had not been budgeted for. He noted that a possible reason for that could be because the City would end up having to pay five times as much in five years.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER explained that the fund would give staff and the Council flexibility to spend and not spend the money. He noted that the Ocotillo Road project was being moved up but acknowledged that that was contingent on the City receiving a safety grant. He believed that the funds could be used for the project should the safety grant not be awarded.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HARTKE TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2011/12 BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$300,000.00 IN GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECT FUND ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION FROM THE DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT CIP TO A GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION IN THE NEWLY CREATED INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE RESERVE.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN stated the Council would have the ability to allocate funding from reserves if the State took funding from the City.

MR. STRACHOTA concurred.

COUNCILMEMBER SELLERS expressed concern if the City would be becoming more restrictive about what the money could be approved for. He stated he would support the item as long as there was flexibility.

When the vote was called, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

3. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY2011/12 Budget – One-time funding

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER indicated that there was \$1.2 million dollars leftover in Downtown funds that were being carried over unencumbered and was requesting that \$300,000.00 of that be moved to the Infrastructure Maintenance Reserve.

Economic Development Director CHRIS MACKAY stated there had been miscommunication in terms of the unencumbered amounts. She stated that the actual unencumbered amount was \$441,647.00. She explained that the encumbered amount was for any façade requests.

In response to a question from MAYOR TIBSHRAENY, Ms. Mackay stated that \$400,000.00 had been set aside. She stated that the façade might be ending this year and would like to bring applications before the Council for review.

At the request of VICE-MAYOR DONOVAN for clarification, Ms. Mackay stated that \$400,000.00 had been encumbered and protected. She stated that the \$441,000.00 had not been allotted for anything. She explained that the \$441,000.00 remained from a land acquisition fund and indicated that staff had no plans to use the money.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER asked if there were other areas of money that could be used for Downtown Chandler.

MS. MACKAY responded that the money would have been used for future projects. She suggested that if an opportunity were to come up that the Council consider using money from one of the Downtown Reserve funds.

COUNCILMEMBER SELLERS asked if the money could be appropriated for any project that the Economic Development Division felt was too good to pass up.

MR. STRACHOTA replied that the reserve was for public infrastructure. He believed it would be appropriate if the staff indicated that the money was to be used for public infrastructure. He stated that public infrastructure could include land acquisition. He stated that money would need to be used from another reserve should the money be used for something else.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO, TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2011/12 BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$300,000.00 IN ONE-TIME GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECT FUND CARRYFORWARD ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION FROM THE DOWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT CIP TO A GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION IN THE NEWLY CREATED INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE RESERVE.

In response to a question from COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO, Mr. Strachota indicated that the reserve would be broadly defined so that it could be used for any infrastructure project.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER asked if money that was not spent was always carried forward.

CITY MANAGER DLUGAS responded that historically \$800,000.00 had been set aside from the façade program, with \$400,000.00 being set aside this year. He stated that the programs were always set aside at those amounts regardless of what was being spent in prior years.

In response to a question from COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, City Manager Dlugas indicated that different dollar amounts were set aside for different possibilities. He stated that with Council's approval, money could be taken from one account and then replenished if one project was not coming to fruition.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN asked if the wording should be changed in order to cover various projects such as infrastructure, special projects, and land acquisition. He questioned if they would be better off changing the wording.

CITY ATTORNEY MARY WADE stated that the reserve would have to be related to infrastructure. She added that it could also be related to infrastructure installation and maintenance depending on how it was defined in the budget documents.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN stated if the reserve's name was changed to "Council Reserve" then the fund could be more broad and flexible.

CITY ATTORNEY WADE concurred.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER stated that it was not his intent that the fund be used as an incentive for a developer in the Downtown area.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN stated he wanted to give the reserve more flexibility so that they were not locked into only being able to use the money for maintenance.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER indicated that the infrastructure maintenance would include park maintenance, street maintenance, slurry, and other road projects if safety grants do not come to fruition.

WHEN THE VOTE WAS CALLED, the motion carried unanimously (7-0).

4. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY2011/12 Budget from the Green Building Program

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER stated that the amendment would take away funding that had been set aside to pay for a developer's application for LEED Certification.

COUNCILMEMBER HARTKE questioned the length of existence of the fund and how it has been used.

Assistant City Manager PAT MCDERMOTT stated that the program had been in existence for 5 or 6 years. He did not believe that the funding had been used. He indicated that the goal of the program was for the City to reimburse the applicant for the application process. He did not recall a company that has come back to the City and asked for reimbursement. He stated that the City funds paid for the certifications for the City Hall building, Fire Admin building as well as the Boys and Girls Club building. He recalled another building that was built to be LEED certified but did not recall them going through the actual LEED process.

In response to a question from COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER. Mr. McDermott did not believe that the change in funding would change any corporate decisions or drive a decision to become a LEED building. He noted that there were many other things that the City was doing in order to encourage sustainability.

VICE-MAYOR DONOVAN stated it was important for her for the City to show that they were sustainability friendly; however, she did not think anything would change due to the funds not being used.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER SELLERS, TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2011-12 BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$22,000 IN ONE-TIME GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION FROM THE GREEN BUILDING PROGRAM DECISION PACKAGE TO A GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION IN THE NEWLY CREATED INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE RESERVE.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY asked if the money had been used by private entities in previous budgets.

MR. MCDERMOTT did not recall anyone being reimbursed.

WHEN THE VOTE WAS CALLED, THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0).

5. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY2011/12 Budget for design work at Veterans Memorial at Veterans Oasis Park

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO indicated that staff met with veteran groups and other organization groups and came up with a design for the Veterans Memorial at Veterans Oasis Park. He stated that the memorial would encompass families, virtue, fallen soldiers, airmen, marines, coastguard and sailors. He stated that the group had decided to ask for private donations. He stated that it would cost about \$1.4 million to construct the memorial. He stated that the group was in need of funding to kick start the project. He stated that the funding would be considered a loan as the City would end up being reimbursed.

Councilmember Orlando indicated that he had heard concerns from the Council regarding the allocation of the entire amount of \$120,000.00 and whether a program plan should be done first. He stated he looked into their concerns and would be amending his motion.

Community Services Director MARK EYNATTEN stated that an RFQ&E was in place in order to hire a consultant. He stated that a review committee would review the proposals, select finalists and then bring a contract with a consultant to help with the fundraising process. He added that hopefully enough money was raised to set-up an on-going maintenance fund for the memorial.

In response to a question from MAYOR TIBSHRAENY, Mr. Eynatten stated that in the RFQ&E, there was language that stated that there was no money allocated in the contract. He stated that the RFQ&E asks the consultant to explain how they would go about covering their costs through the fundraising.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO stated that for every dollar that is raised by the consultant, the consultant would receive a share.

VICE-MAYOR DONOVAN stated she was glad with the project moving forward with the consultant piece. She stated that she would anticipate the consultant forming an advisory board that would help in raising the funds.

MR. EYNATTEN stated that staff was modeling the same process that ICAN did in order to get funds for building their facility.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN noted that there would be certain benchmarks that would need to be reached before any construction takes place.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2011-12 BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$120,000.00 FROM ONE-TIME GENERAL FUND COUNCIL CONTINGENCY FUNDS TO THE COMMUNITY SERVICES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (EXISTING COMMUNITY PARK IMPROVEMENTS/REPAIRS) IN THE GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND. OF THAT, \$20,000.00 WOULD BE IMMEDIATELY ALLOCATED FOR PLANNING, THE DESIGN AND PRINTING OF PUBLICITY AND EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS AS WELL AS FURTHER SCHEMATIC DRAWING DESIGNS OF THE VETERANS MEMORIAL AT VETERANS OASIS PARK. NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 2011, STAFF AND THE CONSULTANT WILL BRING TO COUNCIL A PLAN AND A DESIGN SCHEDULE FOR THE MEMORIAL AND FOR POSSIBLE ALLOCATION OF THE REMAINING \$100,000.00. THESE FUNDS WILL BE REPAYED THROUGH FUTURE CAPITAL FUND RAISING CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES DESIGNED SPECIFICALLY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEMORIAL.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0).

6. Shifting of Program Funding for Proposed FY2011/12 Budget from the Public Building Impact Fee Fund

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER asked how much money was left in the City Hall fund.

Assistant to the City Manager MARIAN NORRIS stated that there was \$3.1 million encumbered for the next year. She stated that the project was still open and stated that there would still be some things to wrap up. She did not have a specific dollar amount but stated that some money should be held off. She added that money available could also be used in the future if other divisions are moved into the City Hall.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER noted that various projects could be done at City Hall, including solar projects.

COUNCILMEMBER HARTKE questioned how the Public Building Impact Fee Fund would be affected if money was taken from that fund.

MR. STRACHOTA responded that money had been loaned from the General Fund to the Impact Fee Fund, with the intent of having the impact fee fund repaying the General Fund as the impact fees came in. He explained that since the monies were not to be used for the City Hall, the monies from the Impact Fee Fund would not be used to reimburse the General Fund. He further stated that the money would be reallocated now instead of being reallocated in the future.

MAYOR TIBSHRAENY questioned if the money should be labeled as part of the infrastructure maintenance reserve.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER stated he was fine with the money being moved back into the General Fund. He asked if anything had been spent anything from the Impact Fee Fund that would have to be paid back.

Acting Budget Manager GREG WESTRUM stated that a loan was done as part of the City Hall Project last year from the General Fund to the Impact Fee Fund. He stated that the money was scheduled to be paid.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER questioned if the loan should be forgiven or if it should go before the General Fund.

MR. STRACHOTA stated that the \$2 million could be left in the General Fund and not make a loan to the Impact Fee Fund.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER asked if the amount would then be allocated and available to use this year.

MR. STRACHOTA replied that the amount would be going to fund balance, be unappropriated and would not be available to be spent.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO asked if the \$600,000.00 in the maintenance fund would only be able to go to a couple of projects. He questioned if it would make more sense to put an additional \$2 million in the fund.

MR. ZEDER indicated that there was a recent project done on a section of Arizona Avenue and a portion of Frye Road. He stated that the cost for those two roads was \$1.5 million.

COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN expressed concern over the wording of the reserve. He did not want it not to be clarified and then the Council not being able to use the funds.

COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO noted the various other funding that could be used for Economic Development. He stated he did not want the maintenance fund to be underfunded.

In response to a question from COUNCILMEMBER HEUMANN, City Attorney Wade stated that slurry seal was maintenance. She stated that if the expectation was for it to include installation, then that would need to be said on the record.

COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER stated it was not his intent for the money to be used for other projects.

MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER WENINGER, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER ORLANDO, TO AMEND THE PROPOSED FY2011-12 BUDGET BY TRANSFERRING \$2,000,000.00 IN APPROPRIATION FROM THE PUBLIC BUILDING IMPACT FEE FUND AND REDUCING THE ASSOCIATED GENERAL FUND LOAN TRANSFER FOR THE CITY HALL CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, AND TRANSFERRING \$2,000,000.00 FROM GENERAL FUND TO A GENERAL GOVERNMENT CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND ONE-TIME APPROPRIATION IN THE NEWLY CREATED INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE RESERVE.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (7-0).

Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:51 p.m.

ATTEST: _____
City Clerk

Mayor

Approved: June 9, 2011

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the special meeting of the City Council of Chandler, Arizona, held on the 23rd day of May 2011. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2011.

City Clerk