



**Broad-Level Tiered Environmental Review
for Activity/Project that is
Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.35(a)**

Project Information

Project Name: City of Chandler Housing Rehabilitation

Responsible Entity (RE): City of Chandler, Arizona

State/Local Identifier:

RE Preparer: Rick Smith, Housing Rehabilitation Specialist

Certifying Officer: Riann Balch, Community Resources Manager

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

**Consultant (if applicable): Mark Appleby, City of Chandler Environmental Review
Consultant, Com Sense, Inc.**

Point of Contact: Rick Smith, Housing Rehabilitation Specialist

Project Location: City Wide - Corporate City Limits of the City of Chandler, AZ.

Additional Location Information: mapped

Direct Comments to: Rick Smith, Housing Rehabilitation Specialist

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

City of Chandler Neighborhood Resources – Housing Rehabilitation

Home Modification – The program helps low to moderate income persons with disabilities modify their homes to remove barriers so they can continue to live safely and comfortably.

Emergency Repair – This program has a focus on providing expedited assistance in addressing substandard conditions which pose a serious threat to health, safety and welfare of the household affecting the immediate livability of the home.

Exterior Improvement – This program provides no-interest loans, forgivable loans for exterior improvements to single family homes, condos, and townhomes of low to moderate income Chandler homeowners.

Minor Home Repair – This program helps low to moderate Chandler homeowners make minor repairs which will help them remain in their home and improve its livability.

Maximum CDBG Funding \$2,600,000

330 Units: Home Modification, Emergency Repair, Exterior Improvement and Minor Home Repair

Approximate size of the project area:

Chandler, Arizona's estimated population is 257,165 according to the most recent United States census estimates. Feb 17, 2020;

<https://www.chandleraz.gov/explore/living-in-chandler/community-profile-and-demographics>

Average Household Income - \$99,000; Housing Units - 108,555 as of April 1, 2020

Length of time covered by this review:

Prior funding years allocated to housing rehabilitation activities, funding year FY 19-20 (\$200,000) and FY 20/21; 21/22; 22/23, 23/24 and 24/25 through June 30, 2025.

Maximum number of dwelling units or lots addressed by this tiered review:

Estimated 330 housing units.

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5:

PENDING SITE SPECIFIC REVIEW for Statutory Elements unable to clear all statutory elements on an area wide basis.

Funding Information

As available by Program Year Allocation funding year FY 19-20 (\$200,000)
B-20/21/22/23/24/25-MC-04-0507

**Total Allocation from the 5 Year Consolidated Planning Period not to exceed \$2,600,000
CDBG FUNDING plus carryover from FY 19-20**

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: Funding is provided to program operations from the City of Chandler General Fund for coordinative supporting services IE, Clerks office, Public Outreach, Legal Services, Procurement and others for which no specific dollar allocation is ascribed.

Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities and Written Strategies

<p>Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6</p>	<p>Was compliance achieved at the broad level of review?</p>	<p>If Yes: Describe compliance determinations made at the broad level. If No: Describe the policy, standard, or process to be followed in the site-specific review.</p>
<p>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.6</p>		
<p>Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Review of City of Chandler Planning general plan mapping and zoning maps from: www.chandleraz.gov/airportmasterplan provided by Wilbur Smith Associates conducting study for the City 480-775-4344 - FAA/FCC registered reviews. The project is not within an FAA-designated civilian airport Runway Clear Zone (RCZ) -or Runway Protection Zone, or within a military airfield Clear Zone (CZ) or Accident Potential Zone (APZ) -Approach Protection Zone, based upon information from the civilian airport or military airfield administrator identifying the boundaries of such zones.</p> <p>Each site specific project will be required to document the undertaking is at least 2,500 feet from a civilian airport or 15,000 feet from a military airport and for those undertakings within this review range, documentation of the site specific location and any potential adverse effects will be identified.</p>
<p>Coastal Barrier Resources Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</p>	<p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Projects located in HUD Region IX (CA, AZ, NV, HI, Guam) have no coastal barrier resources. Likewise, projects located in the State of Arizona have no coastal zones and are therefore considered to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations. Attachment A provides source documentation.</p>

<p>Flood Insurance</p> <p>Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The City of Chandler is a qualified and current participant in good standing with FEMA Flood Insurance program. It is possible a household for assistance could be impacted by a designated 100 year flood zone. Further it is possible an undertaking is proposed within any within a 100 year floodplain (Zones A or V) identified by FEMA maps, and involves a "critical action" (e.g., emergency facilities, facility for mobility impaired persons, etc.) within a 500 year floodplain (Zone X).</p> <p>As site specific undertakings are identified projects will obtain source documentation from FEMA or FEMA certified resources to accurately place and analyze flood zone effects on the propose rehabilitation site. All adverse effects will be identified.</p>
--	---	---

STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §58.5

<p>Clean Air</p> <p>Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>ADEQ has no active impact air quality control sites in Chandler. Review of National Priority List sites for subject impact at http://www.cqs.com/super_az.htm with negative findings for the subject. The project is located within an "attainment" area according to ADEQ and is in conformance with the EPA-approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), per contact with the State Air Quality Management District or Board.</p> <p>The project is located within an "attainment" area for carbon monoxide since April 8, 2005; however, this location is within a "non-attainment" area for PM-10 and ozone. To address this "non-attainment", a State Implementation Plan (SIP) was developed. The EPA approved this plan and it is subject to modification as needed to address the "non-attainment" concerns to EPA's satisfaction.</p> <p>HUD recognizes projects such as single family rehabilitation to have limited or insignificant contributions to air quality effect especially where there is no change of</p>
---	---	--

		<p>land use which is the case with these proposed undertakings.</p> <p>This review utilizes the recommended resource of HUD suite of Environmental Assessment Tools (ATEC) and NEPA Assist. More detailed source material is provided in attachments from Maricopa County Air Quality testing for attainment. Attachment A provides source review material and includes EPA and ADEQ source data records check maps.</p>
<p>Coastal Zone Management Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)</p>	<p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Projects located in HUD Region IX (CA, AZ, NV, HI, Guam) have no coastal barrier resources. Likewise, projects located in the State of Arizona have no coastal zones and are therefore considered to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations.</p>
<p>Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The subject area cannot be validated as free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended residential use of the subject property. Toxic or hazardous materials are identified near residential housing sites.</p> <p>http://www.epa.gov/enviro and https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist</p> <p>Relative to Lead Based Paint; individual assessment for all housing that is pre 1978 construction will be evaluated according to Title X regulations as amended and homeowners will be provided with lead safety information. Signed documentation of lead safety material received will be maintained in the housing rehabilitation file. The attached checklist will be utilized to insure program compliance with lead procedures.</p> <p>Disclosure information will be provided to all homeowners for Radon affect. Signed documentation of Radon material received will be maintained in the housing</p>

		<p>rehabilitation file.</p> <p>As each individual site for rehabilitation is identified, a review of all environmental registrations and reievew of the project area for no less than 3,000 feet must be conducted to assess any Contamination and Toxic Substances and all adverse effects will be identified.</p>
<p>Endangered Species Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Arizona Game and Fish for site surveys and specifically for Burrowing Owl survey completions, cannot clear the City of Chandler from adverse effects.</p> <p>Site specific review and returns from Department of Interior/Fish and Wildlife can verify on their web site for specific locations and return a letter or assessment of impacts as required. Each site specific review will require a specific location review from Fish and Wildlife currnetly at http://ecos.fws.gov/imf/imf.jsp?site=geotract</p>
<p>Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>A preliminary assessment for Toxins and Hazards specific to properties that are located near hazardous industrial operations handling fuels or chemicals of an explosive or flammable nature are subject to HUD safety standards (24 CFR 51, Subpart C). In the case of tanks containing common liquid fuels, the requirement for an acceptable separation distance (ASD) calculation only applies to storage tanks that have a capacity of more than 100 gallons. Site reviews revealed the project area cannot rule out individual homes as being located within the immediate vicinity of hazardous industrial operations handling fuel or chemicals of an explosive or flammable nature.</p> <p>As each individual site for rehabilitation is identified, a review of all environmental registrations and review of the project area for no less than 3,000 feet must be conducted to assess any Explosive and Flammable Hazard and all adverse effects</p>

		will be identified.
<p>Farmlands Protection</p> <p>Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Documentation from historical topo's (attachment A) and return on review from USDA, National Resources Conservation Service indicate that City of Chandler existing residential land uses are considered as a "Range Land" Classification.</p>
<p>Floodplain Management</p> <p>Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>It is possible a household for assistance could be impacted by a designated 100 year flood zone. Further it is possible an undertaking is proposed within any within a 100 year floodplain (Zones A or V) identified by FEMA maps, and involves a "critical action" (e.g., emergency facilities, facility for mobility impaired persons, etc.) within a 500 year floodplain (Zone X).</p> <p>As site specific undertakings are identified projects will obtain source documentation from FEMA or FEMA certified resources to accurately place and analyze flood zone effects on the propose rehabilitation site. All adverse effects will be identified.</p>
<p>Historic Preservation</p> <p>National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>It is possible that structures in this program could apply for assistance that are 50 years of age or older. It is also possible that construction or project undertakings would change the exterior of a home are part of the scope of work.</p> <p>A work overview will be included with all site specific reviews, assessment of a property for National Register and scope of work to have potential impact on any structural element conducted on every proposed project. Appropriate steps will be taken per Guidance: http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/environment/review/historic.cfm</p>
<p>Noise Abatement and Control</p> <p>Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B</p>	<p>Yes No</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project does not involve new housing construction only rehabilitation of existing and therefore does not involve noise sensitive land use as a residential rehabilitation. Therefore, project is compliance with 24 CFR 51B. This is existing rehabilitation/redevelopment and a</p>

		<p>continuation of the previous residential uses.</p> <p>No unusual conditions in the described rehabilitation activity would be anticipated. An undertaking of housing rehabilitation is not considered more than a short term noise effect from construction trades and other than compliance with City ordinances for construction start and stop times no special mitigations in residentially zoned areas are required.</p>
<p>Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149</p>	<p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>PRINTED: Environmental Protection Agency List of Sole Source Aquifers, January 1993. Additional supporting confirmation obtained from US EPA web site at http://www.epa.gov/region09/water/groundwater/ssa.html. Source in Attachment A</p>
<p>Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5</p>	<p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service have indicated that this project is not within nor will it affect a wetland. Mapping of the data review is included in this assessment in source documentation Attachment A. Corps of Engineers returned confirmation that designated wetlands are not found in the City limits of Chandler, Arizona.</p>
<p>Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)</p>	<p>Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Confirmed by map designation at Wild & Scenic Rivers Designation from the National Park Service at http://www.nps.gov/rivers/wildriverslist.htm No impacts on a Citywide radius. Source material provided in Attachment A</p>
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
<p>Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Data pulls were obtained from the HUD/EPA environmental assessment web page and the Census for City and while there are no indications of adverse environmental effects on a City wide basis related to minority populations or low income groups. It is possible there are conditions of an environmental nature being created or limiting the choice of minorities or low income persons, which would have an unexpected or restrictive environmental effect to that group on a site specific basis.</p>

For local site specific reviews, EPA Environmental Justice data should be obtained on a 1 mile radius and analyzed for affect. Housing and demographic census data pulls should be provided as source material and HUD HEROS format for environmental review assessment included with the review. All adverse effects will be identified.

Attach supporting documentation as necessary, including a site-specific checklist (note: The Site specific checklist that will be utilized is also known as "Appendix A".)

Determination:

- Extraordinary circumstances exist and this project may result in significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA); OR
- There are no extraordinary circumstances which would require completion of an EA, and this project may remain CEST.


PREPARER SIGNATURE:  DATE: August 5, 2020
Mark C. Appleby, C.E.I., C.E.M. Environmental Reviews
Environmental Assessment Association #13027

Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature:

_____ Date: _____

Name/Title: Riann Balch, Community Resources Manager

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

This document represents the Tier 1 or Broad-Level review *only*. As individual sites are selected, this review must be supplemented by individual Tier 2 or Site-Specific reviews for each site. All laws and authorities requiring site-specific analysis will be addressed in these individual reviews.



APPENDIX A 2013

(Previous versions are obsolete)

Before an activity is approved, this **Appendix A** review must be successfully completed and kept in file for each residential structure proposed for acquisition/rehabilitation/disposition. The Appendix A may be used only in conjunction with a currently valid **RER** (Rehabilitation Environmental Review) for the target area. Completion of the Appendix A does not require the submission of an additional RROF/C (Request for Release of Funds/Certification-HUD 7015.15) if the Responsible Entity has received Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) for the project.

Building and unit Address:

Part III HISTORIC PRESERVATION (NHPA, Section 106)

1. Does this undertaking involve only those activities permitted without further consultation under a currently-valid **programmatic agreement** or **Letter of Understanding** among the responsible entity, the State Historic Preservation Officer (**SHPO**) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (**THPO**) and/or the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation?

() Yes () No

If yes, note date of programmatic agreement or Letter of Understanding _____, document implementation of the terms of the agreement and STOP here; the Section 106 Historic Preservation review is complete. If no, PROCEED.

2. Does the undertaking involve only acquisition and/or minor rehabilitation of a 1-4 unit residential structure (or individual unit(s) within a multifamily structure) that is **less than 50 years old** and will involve only interior rehabilitation with no visible changes to the exterior of the structure?

() Yes () No

If Yes, record date of building construction _____, age: _____ years and document that scope of work is limited to minor interior rehabilitation and STOP here. The Section 106 Historic Preservation review is complete. If No, PROCEED.

3. If the proposed rehabilitation involves physical work with potential to affect any historic structure, **determine** -in consultation with the appropriate **SHPO/THPO**- whether the building is **listed or eligible** for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NR). (*If the structure is located in a National Register Historic District, the area of effects includes not only the subject property, but the Historic District as a whole.)

Is the building listed in or eligible for listing in the NR? () Yes () No

If No, attach SHPO/THPO concurrence or other evidence of conclusion and STOP here. This part is complete pursuant to 36 CFR §800.4(d). If Yes, PROCEED.

The program does have a Consultation Checklist from SHPO which will be followed and included in all site specific reviews to determine how that project is assessed according to SHPO guidelines and 106 Consultation process. A copy of that process is attached to this sample as it will be included with all site specific reviews.

4. Determine whether **historic properties are affected** per §800.4(d). Has SHPO/THPO concurred with your fully documented determination of “no historic properties affected”, or failed to object within 30 days of receipt of such determination, allowing sufficient time for mail delivery?

- () Yes. Enclose documentation and stop here. Section 106 review is complete.
() No. Proceed.

5. **Determine whether the undertaking will have adverse effects** on historic properties according to § 800.5, in consultation with the SHPO/THPO and consulting parties [see §800.2(c)].

Will this undertaking have adverse effect(s) on historic properties? ()Yes ()No

If "no", attach SHPO/THPO concurrence and STOP here. This part is complete per 36 CFR §800.5(d)(1).

If "yes", PROCEED.

6. Resolve Adverse Effects per §800.6 -in consultation with the SHPO/THPO, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) if participating, and any consulting parties. The loan or grant may not be approved until adverse effects are resolved according to §800.6 or ACHP comment is considered by the Responsible Entity.

NOTES: 1. A determination/consultation of eligibility for the NR, may be sent to SHPO/THPO concurrently with the determination of effect/no effect and with the determination of adverse/no adverse effects. 2. The **Chief Executive Officer of the jurisdiction cannot delegate to another person** the decision to approve a project in opposition to Advisory Council comment.

Part IV Airport Clear Zones (24CFR51D)

1. Does this proposal involve the purchase or sale of property? ()Yes ()No

If no, STOP here. This project complies with 24 CFR Subpart D §51.300. If yes, PROCEED.

2. Is the subject property located in the Clear Zone (CZ), Approach Protection Zone, or in the Runway Clear Zone (RCZ) of a commercial civil airport or military airfield?

()No Source Documentation:

If no, stop here; this project complies with 24 CFR 51Subpart D §51.300. If yes, PROCEED.

()Yes. Provide an airport disclosure statement advising the buyer that the property is in a RCZ or CZ, what the implications of such a location are and that there is a possibility that the property may, at a later date, be acquired by the airport operator. Obtain the buyer's signature acknowledging receipt of this information and attach it to this Appendix. (This disclosure requirement does not apply to Accident Potential Zones). AND PROCEED.

3. Does the rehabilitation significantly prolong the physical or economic life of the building?

() No; the activity complies with HUD policy at 24 CFR 51 Subpart D §51.303.

() Yes; the proposal is not in compliance with HUD policy at 24 CFR 51 Subpart D §51.303; **deny HUD assistance for this activity.**

Part V Explosive & Flammable Operations (24CFR51C)

1. Will this proposed acquisition/rehabilitation project result in increased residential density or cause a vacant building to become physically or legally habitable?

Yes No.

If the answer to both parts of the question is No, STOP HERE; this proposal complies with 24 CFR §51.201.

If the answer to any part of the question is “yes”, PROCEED.

2. Is this proposed project within 1 mile of any visible, explosive-or-flammable-substance container (a stationary, above-ground tank with a capacity of more than 100 gallons)?

Yes No (See 24 CFR 51C, Appendices I and II).

Field inspection by: _____

Date: _____

If No, STOP here. This part is complete. If yes, PROCEED.

3. Note Tank volume: _____ gallons, or diked area around tank: _____ square feet.

Record distance from the project to the flammable/explosives container: _____ feet.

4. According to HUD Guidebook "Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities" (HUD-1060-CPD), the **Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)** for thermal radiation (Appendix F) is _____ ft. and the ASD for blast overpressure (Appendix G) is _____ ft. The greater ASD is _____ ft.

Is the project located beyond the ASD according to Appendices F and G?

Yes, STOP; the project complies with 24 CFR 51C. No, **Deny HUD assistance**, or **APPROVE ONLY** if the following shielding/mitigation measures- designed in compliance with 24 CFR §51.205- are carried out:

Program analysis will use recent determinations on exclusions as defined by HUD:

Aboveground storage tanks containing liquified petroleum gas (“LPG” or propane) are also excluded from coverage when they are 1,000 gallons or less in volume and comply with the National Fire Protection Association Code 58, in a version no earlier than 2017 (NFPA 58 (2017)). Further guidance on the exclusion for LPG and propane is provided in the fact sheet H1, FR-6054-F-02 Conforming the Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) Standards for Residential Propane Tanks to Industry Standards.

Part VI Toxic/Hazardous/Radioactive Materials policy (24 CFR 58.5(i)(2))

1. Field Observations of the property (exterior/interior):

2. Are there visible dumps, landfills, industrial sites or other locations containing or releasing toxic/hazardous/ radioactive/ materials, chemicals or hazardous wastes on or near the subject site? () No, proceed. () Yes, describe and proceed

3. Does this project site contain an underground storage tank (which is not a residential fuel tank)?

() No, proceed. () Yes, describe and proceed

4. Search Federal, State or local environmental toxic sites records (e.g. www.epa.gov/enviro/emef). Do these sources reveal nearby on or nearby sites that may pose threats to the subject site occupants' health or safety?

() No; cite databases and proceed. () Yes; cite databases, describe and proceed.

5. Determination. Are the neighborhood and property free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which would affect the health or safety of occupants?

() Yes, according to toxic site database research and field observations

() No, the following toxic or hazardous conditions must be mitigated during implementation:

(Prescribe mitigation measures now, and attach mitigation compliance, disclosure & clearance documents, as appropriate, after project implementation).

() No, hazardous exposure or risk will not be mitigated; **Deny HUD Assistance for this activity.**

PART VII Flood Insurance/Flood Disaster Protection Act

[24CFR58.6(a)]

(The Flood Disaster Protection Act mandates the purchase of **flood insurance** for buildings located in SFHA's as a condition of approval for federal financial assistance. Flood insurance protection is mandatory for acquisition, construction, reconstruction, repair and improvement activities. Responsible Entities approving such Federally assisted activities located in SFHA's must ensure that flood insurance is maintained for the statutorily-prescribed period and dollar amount. In the case of grants, flood insurance must be maintained for the life of the building. In the case of loans, flood insurance must be maintained for the term of the loan. A copy of the flood insurance Policy Declaration must be maintained in the ERR. The amount of flood insurance coverage must be at least equal to the total project cost (less the estimated land cost) or to the maximum limit of coverage made available by the NFIP).

1. Does the project involve the acquisition or rehabilitation of structures, buildings or mobile homes?

No; flood insurance is not required. Stop; compliance is established.

Yes; proceed.

2. Is the structure or part of the structure located in a FEMA designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

No. Source Document (FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, date): _____ (Stop; compliance is established).

Yes. Source Document (FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, date): _____ (Proceed).

3. Is the community participating in the National Insurance Program (or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards)?

Yes - Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained and maintained for the economic life of the activity to cover the total activity cost. A copy of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept in the Environmental Review Record.

No, **HUD assistance may not be provided for this property in the Special Flood Hazards Area.**

Preparer Name, Title and Agency

Signature

Date

Responsible Entity Official-Name and Title

Signature

Date



Doug Ducey
Governor

ARIZONA STATE PARKS & TRAILS

Robert Broscheid
Executive Director



State Historic Preservation Office Guidance for Section 106 Review of HUD/ ADOH Projects— PROVIDED BY SHPO TO CLAIRIFY WHEN CONSULTATION WAS REQUIRED BY STATE OF ARIZONA

WHEN TO SUBMIT TO SHPO:

1. If a project involves modifications to a building or structure, a project must be submitted to SHPO for consultation review:
 - a. When a building / structure is over 50 years of age.
 - b. When a building /structure is located in a local, state, or National Register historic district.
 - c. When a building / structure is of exceptional significance or is designed by a renowned architect / engineer.

2. If either of the following scopes of work are present, SHPO consultation is required:
 - a. NEW ground disturbance in an area of virgin ground. For Certified Local Governments (CLGs), if requested from SHPO, a courtesy Class 1 Archaeological Inventory (records search) may be completed for submitted projects.
 - b. Exterior modifications to a building / structure and the building meets the conditions in 1a, 1b, or 1c, above.

This includes:

○ New paint or stucco over existing masonry walls (never been painted or stuccoed.)	○ New addition(s) to a building / structure (includes: porches, patios)
○ Replacement of historic windows or doors with new products.	○ Changing the roof configuration.
○ Adding exit ramps / stairs.	○ Converting a garage to living space or enclosing a carport.

NO SHPO CONSULTATION REVIEW IS NEEDED FOR THE FOLLOWING:

- Refinance only
- Manufactured Homes / Trailers
- Interior work only or Maintenance only(see below)

Maintenance is work that does not require a building permit or special training to complete the work. Examples:

For buildings or structures (interior):

- | | |
|--|---|
| ○ Removal of hazardous material (asbestos or lead abatement, such as, drywall tape, flooring mastic, floor tiles) within the building / structure. | ○ Repair / replacement of floor and / or window coverings. |
| ○ Repair / replacement of interior walls and / or ceilings. | ○ Repair / replacement of plumbing fixtures and / or kitchen equipment. |

For buildings or structures (exterior):

- Painting of previously painted surfaces
- Removal of graffiti using gentlest means possible
- Replacement of glass in doors or windows with same visual reflectance product.
- Repair / in-kind replacement or porches, stairs, railings, fascias, shutters. (in-kind means - same material, pattern, texture and size)
- Repair / replacement of roofing material with similar product.
- Repair, replacement or installation of simple gutters and downspouts.
- Repair / replacement of HVAC in same location without additional structure / plumbing. (or moved to ground mounted)
- Repair / replacement of water heaters in same location.
- Repair / replacement of plumbing fixtures and / or kitchen equipment.
- Repair / replacement of electrical, communication, alarm, security systems in the same location.

For parcel / yard maintenance:

- Repair, replacement or installation of fencing using only hand tools.
- Repair / replacement of irrigation, water, sewer, gas or fire protection lines in the same trench (width and depth).
- Removal / replacement of small landscape products – no greater than 15 gallon horticulture container.
- Temporary installation of ramps for ADA access.

Questions? Please feel free to email mparisella@azstateparks.gov (buildings) or edavis@azstateparks.gov (archaeology).

Lead Based Paint (applicable after 9/15/2000) **Chandler LBP Checklist for: ADDRESS**

Project Description:

DATE Structure Constructed:

1. Was the construction of the subject building completed on or after January 1, 1978? ()

Yes () No

If yes, stop here; this part is completed. If no, proceed.

2. Is this property a Single Room Occupancy Dwelling Unit, a residential property designated exclusively for persons with disabilities or the elderly; where a child under six years old is **not** expected to reside?

() Yes () No

If yes, stop here; this part is completed. If no, proceed.

3. Has this property been inspected in accordance with §35.1320(a) and found not to have lead based paint? () Yes () No

If yes, stop here and attach a copy of the inspection report; this part is now completed. If no, proceed

4. Has all LBP been removed, interim controlled or abated and LBP clearance for the property achieved?

() Yes () No

If yes, stop here and attach a copy of the clearance report; this part is now completed. If no, proceed.

5. Is this rehabilitation which will **not** disturb a painted surface, or is the disturbance limited to 20 square feet exterior painted surfaces or 2 square feet painted surfaces in any one interior room?

[See §35.1350(d)] () Yes () No

If yes, stop here; this part is completed. If no, proceed to the number below, associated with the level of Federal rehabilitation assistance applicable to this project.

6. Are the average Federal funds for the hard costs of rehabilitation per unit limited to \$5,000 or less?

() Yes () No

If yes, conduct paint testing per Sec. 35.930(a)(1) and implement safe work practices per § 35.930(a)(2) **OR** presume LBP and implement **safe work practices**. Either way, conduct a clearance examination per §35.930(b)(3) after rehabilitation. Attach a copy of the paint test (if applicable) and clearance examination reports, after completion.

7. Are the average Federal funds for the hard costs of rehabilitation per unit more than \$5000 but not exceeding \$25,000?

() Yes () No

If Yes, conduct paint testing per Sec. 35.930(c)(1) and a risk assessment per Sec. 35.930(c)(2); perform **interim controls** per Sec. 35.1330 (see Sec. 35.930(c)(3)) **OR** presume LBP and/or hazards present and use standard treatments per Sec. 35.120(a). Either way, conduct a clearance examination per Sec. 35.930(b)(3) after rehabilitation. Attach a copy of the paint test and risk assessment (if applicable), and clearance examination reports, after completion.

8. Are the average Federal funds for the hard costs of rehabilitation per unit more than \$25,000?

() Yes () No

If Yes, conduct paint test and perform a risk assessment per §35.930(d)(2), **or** presume LBP. **Abate all LBP hazards** identified by the paint test or risk assessment conducted and any LBP hazards created as a result of the rehabilitation work, in accordance with §35.1325, except that interim controls are acceptable on exterior surfaces that are not disturbed by rehabilitation. Either way, conduct a clearance examination

per Sec. 35.930(b)(3) after rehabilitation. Attach a copy of the paint test and risk assessment (if applicable), and clearance examination reports, after completion.

***Notes:** If Federal rehabilitation assistance under the HOME program is used, recipient shall require property owner to incorporate ongoing LBP maintenance activities into regular building operations, per Sec. 35.1355(a) (see Sec. 35.935). Also, there are **notification requirements** for all rehabilitation activities: Lead Hazard Information Pamphlet, disclosure of known LBP hazards, and notices of lead hazard evaluation/reduction activity (see Sec. 35.910).